Proposal Evaluation Form

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

EDUCATION, AUDIOVISUAL AND CULTURE EXECUTIVE AGENCY

ERASMUS+ Evaluation Summary Report

Call: Type of action: Proposal number: Proposal acronym: Duration (months): Proposal title: EACEA-03-2020-1 EPLUS2020-ACR 101011541 AU 0 ECHE Application for Akdeniz University Other countries

N.	Proposer name	Country	Total Cost	%	Grant Requested		%
1	AKDENIZ UNIVERSITY	TR	0		-	0	-
	Total:		0			0	

Abstract:

Activity:

We are determined to continue our studies with the mission of fulfilling the needs of the society in the fields of knowledge, technology, artistic, social, cultural and other fields with the highest level of academic programs, to make education and scientific production at a universal level, to contribute to the transformation of information into technology. To be an outstanding university that continuously improves quality in research, education, art and technology, is environmentally conscious, entrepreneurial and scientifically recognized internationally. We aim to carry out the program in line with the strategic goals of our university in order to adapt to scientific and technological developments within the scope of modernization and internationalization. Since 2013, almost 1400 participants have benefitted Erasmus mobility we would like to enlarge the number of the mobility for the upcoming programme. We have Inter-institutional Erasmus Agreements with 272 universities in programme countries and we are eager to increase the number of it. Additionally, we would like to cooperate with the institutions from partner countries as well.

Evaluation Summary Report

Evaluation Result

Total score: 100.00 (Threshold: 76)

Form information

Criterion 1 - Relevance of the Erasmus Policy Statement

• The Erasmus Policy Statement is clear, consistent and relevant;

• The Erasmus Policy Statement reflects on the relevance of the Erasmus+ Programme within the applicant's institutional

internationalisation and modernisation strategy

• The Erasmus Policy Statement reflects on the planned implementation of the Programme actions and how these will contribute to achieving the objectives of the applicant's institutional strategy.

• The targets and indicators are described when explaining the envisaged impact of the participation in the Programme.

Expert assessment:

The applicant presents a clear and consistent Erasmus Policy Statement (EPS) in line with the institution's profile.

The relevance of the Programme within the institution's internationalisation strategy is demonstrated and concrete goals are set through their participation not only in mobility, but also in other Programme activities.

It is recommended for the applicant to read the Charter guidelines to learn more about the opportunities offered by the Programme.

The institution should contact their NA if they decide to modify their EPS.

Criterion 2 - Adherence to the ECHE principles and practical implementation in the Higher Education Institution

Criterion 2.1 – Recognition and Transparency:

The application reflects on adequate procedures for full automatic recognition of all credits gained for learning outcomes achieved during a mobility period abroad/ a blended mobility. The application should reflect on the necessary measures to achieve:

• a correct use of learning agreements, including online learning agreements;

• the inclusion of appropriate information on learning outcomes, volume of workload (credits) and grades in the transcripts of records;

• achieving full automatic recognition of credits and the recognition of grades (if appropriate) after outgoing mobility;

• a clear and easy path for students to appeal in case full automatic recognition is not achieved.

• The provision of full information on the grading system in the inter-institutional agreements;

• The provision of grade distribution tables, together with transcripts of records (whenever grades are used)

• The transparency of the course catalogue (following the rules of the ECTS Users' Guide, explaining how the information will be

provided in a timely manner and providing a link to the course-catalogue.

• The application reflects on adequate procedures for staff recognition when it comes to participation in mobility and in European

and international cooperation projects.

Expert assessment:

The institution commits to implement full automatic recognition and describes some of the steps to take.

However, they should improve their practices by carefully reading the Council Recommendation for full automatic recognition to design a methodology to implement it at institutional level.

Further to the measures to implement full automatic recognition, the institution should ensure full transparency towards students, which should also include a clear procedure for appeal.

Criterion 2.2 - Adequate procedures for adherence to the principles:

The application reflects how the Higher Education Institution will adhere to the ECHE principles (<u>cf. the ECHE Annotated</u> <u>guidelines</u>) and details the procedures in place to participate in the Programme activities.

Expert assessment:

The application demonstrates that the institution will adhere in a satisfactory way to the ECHE principles, and details how they will favour mobility of staff.

Criterion 2.3 - Commitment to the new principles:

The applicant institution demonstrates a commitment to further develop the implementation of the new ECHE principles, notably:

• Ensuring full and equitable access to participants from all backgrounds, paying particular attention to those with fewer opportunities;

• Having in place a well-explained methodology for allocating ECTS credits. If this is not the case, it must be explained why the applicant is not yet using ECTS credits and how they plan to implement it in the future;

- Putting measures in place to implement the European Student Card Initiative;
- Promoting the programme's Erasmus+ mobile App to students;
- Implementing and promoting environmentally friendly practices in the context of the Erasmus+ Programme;

• Promoting civic engagement and active citizenship amongst outgoing and incoming students before, after and during mobility.

Expert assessment:

The institution commits to implement full and equitable access to participants from all backgrounds. However, it does not describe a full range of actions to ensure the inclusion of groups with fewer opportunities for participation in mobility programmes.

The institution should also consider the possibility of creating training activities for staff and student to promote full and equitable access to participants from all backgrounds.

The applicant shows commitment to implement the European Student Card Initiative and promote the use of Erasmus+ Mobile App. The applicant should improve their knowledge about these two initiatives and provide a timeframe to implement these initiatives in the institution.

They should also ensure that the institutional information is present and up-to-date in the E+ mobile App.

They should also devise a promotion campaign for these initiatives in their institution.

The institution demonstrates that they intend to promote and implement environmentally friendly practices. The examples given are appropriate and in line with the new principles of the ECHE. Howeveer, They should make use of the opportunities provided by the programme to support sustainable means of travel and reduce the negative impact of mobility on the environment.

The application makes clear mention of the opportunity to add value by actively promoting and organising activities that allow mobile participants to be civically engaged, develop their skills beyond the formal education setting, volunteer and take active part in their local society.

Criterion 3 - Quality of the management structure

• The applicant institution shows qualitative levels of general management, including internal management structure, human resources and mobility/project organisation from preparation through to recognition, dissemination and evaluation;

• The institution has the capacity to implement the activities in place and ensure their sustainability

• The applicant institution demonstrates its commitment to quality management, with emphasis on human resources and sustainable structures of cooperation and communication;

Expert assessment:

The institution demonstrates that it has the capacity to implement the planned activities and to ensure their sustainability. There is therefore a commitment to improve the current cooperation structures. The measures for guaranteeing the visibility of Erasmus + opportunities are satisfactory as well as those to promote the Charter principles in the institution.

Decision

Status: Accepted

Summary of the proposal's key strengths and key weaknesses

The institution can be accepted for renewal of the Erasmus Charter for the period 2021-2027.

The institution should carefully read the Erasmus Charter Guidelines to ensure a sustainable and qualitative participation to the Programme.

ECHE Reference code

Eche field

TR ANTALYA01



This electronic receipt is a digitally signed version of the document submitted by your organisation. Both the content of the document and a set of metadata have been digitally sealed.

This digital signature mechanism, using a public-private key pair mechanism, uniquely binds this eReceipt to the modules of the Funding & Tenders Portal of the European Commission, to the transaction for which it was generated and ensures its full integrity. Therefore a complete digitally signed trail of the transaction is available both for your organisation and for the issuer of the eReceipt.

Any attempt to modify the content will lead to a break of the integrity of the electronic signature, which can be verified at any time by clicking on the eReceipt validation symbol.

More info about eReceipts can be found in the FAQ page of the Funding & Tenders Portal.

(https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/support/faq)